

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.355 OF 2003
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1690 OF 2003
IN
S.C.SUIT NO.1928 OF 2003
ALONG WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.512 OF 2003

Shri Mohamed Arif Behlim ...Appellant

Versus

Slum Rehabilitation Authority & Ors....Respondents

.....

None for Appellant.

Mr.Anil R.Mishra for Respondent No.3.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

JANUARY 9, 2006.

P.C.

1. None appears for the Appellant even at the second call. Besides, it is seen that assurance was given by the Counsel for the Appellant that the

Appellant will vacate the premises on or before 15th May 2003 as noted in the order dated 8th May 2003. Presumably, the Appellant must have vacated the premises in terms of the said assurance, for which reason, he is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

2. Be that as it may, as none appears for the Appellant, even at the second call, dismissed for non-prosecution.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.